## COST-EFFECTIVE RESOURCE ALLOCATOR: A DECISION SUPPORT TOOL FOR THREATENED SPECIES MANAGEMENT

Appendix S4. Strategy tables for expert elicitation.

| Assessor (please circle) | A B C D E F G H |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Species |  |  |  |
| Planning time horizon (years) |  |  |  |

## WITHOUT MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

## At the conclusion of the planning period, and in the absence of management intervention

1. What will have been the magnitude of decline (\%) over the planning period?

| Best case scenario ( $\geq 0 \%$ ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Worst case scenario ( $\leq 100 \%$ ) |  |
| Most likely estimate (this should lie between worst and best case scenarios) |  |
| How confident are you the truth will lie between your nominated worst case <br> and best case scenarios? (as a percentage $>50 \%$ ) |  |

2. What will be the population size of mature individuals? The population of interest is the metapopulation which partly or wholly occurs within the park's boundaries.

| Worst case scenario |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Best case scenario |  |
| Most likely estimate (this should lie between worst and best case scenarios) |  |
| How confident are you the truth will lie between your nominated worst case <br> and best case scenarios? (as a percentage >50\%) |  |

For reference - A rule set for assigning Conservation Status
Adapted from IUCN. (2001). IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1.
IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK

|  | CR | EN | VU |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rule A. Decline in population size in the past 10 years, <br> or three generations, whichever is longer. | $\geq 80 \%$ | $\geq 50 \%$ | $\geq 30 \%$ |
| Rule D. Population size of mature individuals | $<50$ | $<250$ | $<1,000$ |


| Assessor (please circle) | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| Planning time horizon (years) | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 |

## WITH MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

At the conclusion of the planning period, and in the presence of ONLY the specified management strategy

1. What will have been the magnitude of decline (\%) over the planning period?

| Best case scenario ( $\geq 0 \%$ ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Worst case scenario ( $\leq 100 \%$ ) |  |
| Most likely estimate (this should lie between worst and best case scenarios) |  |
| How confident are you the truth will lie between your nominated worst case <br> and best case scenarios? (as a percentage $>50 \%$ ) |  |

2. What will be the population size of mature individuals? The population of interest is the metapopulation which partly or wholly occurs within the park's boundaries.

| Worst case scenario |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Best case scenario |  |
| Most likely estimate (this should lie between worst and best case scenarios) |  |
| How confident are you the truth will lie between your nominated worst case <br> and best case scenarios? (as a percentage >50\%) |  |

